Random Matrix Methods for Machine Learning: "Lossless" Compression of Large and Deep Neural Networks @ School of Physical & Mathematical Sciences, NTU

Zhenyu Liao

School of Electronic Information and Communications Huazhong University of Science and Technology (HUST)

January 18, 2023

Outline





- Compression of single-hidden-layer neural networks
- "Lossless" compression of fully-connected deep nets





- **Big Data** era: exploit large *n*, *p*, *N*
- ImageNet dataset (http://www.image-net.org/): in average p = 0.2 million pixels of in total n = 14 million high-resolution images
- counterintuitive phenomena different from classical asymptotic statistics ($p \ll n$), e.g., the "curse of dimensionality"
- complete change of understanding of many algorithms
- Random Matrix Theory (RMT) provides the tools!

"Curse of dimensionality": loss of relevance of Euclidean distance

▶ Binary Gaussian mixture classification $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$:

$$C_1$$
 : $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \mathbf{C}_1)$, versus C_2 : $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2, \mathbf{C}_2)$;

Neyman-Pearson test: classification is possible only when [CLM18]

$$\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\| \ge C_1$$
, or $\|\mathbf{C}_1 - \mathbf{C}_2\| \ge C_2 \cdot p^{-1/2}$

for some constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$.

▶ In this non-trivial setting, for $\mathbf{x}_i \in C_a, \mathbf{x}_j \in C_b$:

$$\max_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \left\{ \frac{1}{p} \mathbf{x}_i^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x}_j \right\} \to 0 \quad \text{and} \ \max_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \left\{ \frac{1}{p} \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2 - \tau \right\} \ \to \ 0$$

as $n, p \to \infty$ with $n \sim p$ for $\tau = \frac{1}{p} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C}_1 + \mathbf{C}_2)$, regardless of the classes $\mathcal{C}_a, \mathcal{C}_b$! (In fact even for $n = p^m$.)

¹Romain Couillet, Zhenyu Liao, and Xiaoyi Mai. "Classification asymptotics in the random matrix regime". In: 2018 26th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO). IEEE. 2018, pp. 1875–1879

Loss of relevance of Euclidean distance in large dimensions: visual representation



Figure: Visual representation of classification in (left) small and (right) large dimensions.

 \Rightarrow Direct consequence to various angle- and/or distance-based machine learning methods!

System model: a random single-hidden-layer neural network



Key object: ¹/_NΣ^TΣ, correlation in the feature space, for random first-layer weights, e.g., W_{ij} ^{i.i.d.} N(0,1)
 ¹/_NΣ^TΣ = ¹/_N Σ^N_{i=1} σ(X^Tw_i)σ(w_i^TX) for independent w_i such that E[w_i] = 0 and E[w_iw_i^T] = I_p.
 Performance guarantee: e.g., in the infinite-neuron limit (N → ∞), depends on the expected kernel matrix (and [LLC18] beyond the N ≫ max(n, p) setting)

$$\frac{1}{N} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \to \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{X}) \equiv \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}[\sigma(\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w}) \sigma(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{X})] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

9/21

Question: compression by carefully choosing weights W and/or activation? $\sigma(\cdot)$, without affecting K?

²Cosme Louart, Zhenyu Liao, and Romain Couillet. "A Random Matrix Approach to Neural Networks". In: *The Annals of Applied Probability* 28.2 (2018), Z. Liao (EIC, HUST) RMT4Compression January 18, 2023

Problem settings

Question: what can we say on the expected kernel matrix of the two-layer NN model

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{X}) \equiv \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}[\sigma(\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{w})\sigma(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{X})] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

• and if yes, can we compress the NN by tuning weights W and/or activation? σ , without affecting K?

Data: *K*-class Gaussian mixture model (GMM) Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^p$ be independently drawn (non-necessarily uniformly) from one of the *K* classes: $C_a : \sqrt{p} \mathbf{x}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \mathbf{C}_a), \quad a \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ (1)

Large dimensional asymptotics

As $n, p \to \infty$ with $p/n \to c \in (0, \infty)$ and some additional growth-rate assumptions on the difference $\|\mu_a - \mu_b\|$ and $\|\mathbf{C}_a - \mathbf{C}_b\|$, $a, b \in \{1, ..., K\}$.

Main result and the proof

Theorem (Asymptotic equivalent for K, [ALC22])

For kernel matrix $\mathbf{K} = \{\mathbb{E}[\sigma(\mathbf{x}_i^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{w})\sigma(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}_j)]\}_{i,j=1}^n$ defined above, one has, as $n, p \to \infty$ that $\|\mathbf{K} - \tilde{\mathbf{K}}\| \to 0$, for some random matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ dependent of data \mathbf{X} , of activation σ but only via the following scalars

$$d_0 = \mathbb{E}[\sigma^2(\sqrt{\tau}z)] - \mathbb{E}[\sigma(\sqrt{\tau}z)]^2 - \tau \mathbb{E}[\sigma'(\sqrt{\tau}z)]^2, \quad d_1 = \mathbb{E}[\sigma'(\sqrt{\tau}z)]^2, \quad d_2 = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}[\sigma''(\sqrt{\tau}z)]^2$$

and independent of the distribution of W, as long as of normalized to have zero mean and unit variance.

Proof outline:

- We are interested in the kernel matrix **K**, the (i, j) entry of which $\mathbf{K}_{ij} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}[\sigma(\mathbf{x}_i^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{w})\sigma(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}_j)]$.
- ► Conditioned on $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x}_i \equiv ||\mathbf{x}_i|| \cdot \xi_i$ and $\mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x}_j$ are asymptotically Gaussian, but correlated!
- Gram-Schmidt to de-correlate $\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}_j = \frac{\mathbf{x}_i^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}_j}{\|\mathbf{x}_i\|}\xi_i + \sqrt{\|\mathbf{x}_j\|^2 \frac{(\mathbf{x}_i^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}_j)^2}{\|\mathbf{x}_i\|^2}}\xi_j$, for Gaussian ξ_j now independent of ξ_j
- Use the fact $\mathbf{x}_i^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{x}_j = O(p^{-1/2})$ and $\|\mathbf{x}_i\|^2 \approx \tau/2 = O(1)$, Taylor-expand to "linearize" $\sigma(\cdot)$ to order $o(n^{-1})$
- Since $\|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \leq n \|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty}$, with $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty} = \max_{ij} |\mathbf{A}_{ij}|$, obtain **spectral** approximation $\mathbf{\tilde{K}}$.

³Hafiz Tiomoko Ali, Zhenyu Liao, and Romain Couillet. "Random matrices in service of ML footprint: ternary random features with no performance loss". In: International Conference on Learning Representations. 2022

According to theorem, allowed to choose arbitrary weights **W** and activation σ , without affecting **K** asymptotically, under the following conditions:

- weights **W** have independent entries with zero mean and unit variance
- activation σ has the same few parameters as the original net

$$d_{0} = \mathbb{E}[\sigma^{2}(\sqrt{\tau}z)] - \mathbb{E}[\sigma(\sqrt{\tau}z)]^{2} - \tau \mathbb{E}[\sigma'(\sqrt{\tau}z)]^{2}, \quad d_{1} = \mathbb{E}[\sigma'(\sqrt{\tau}z)]^{2}, \quad d_{2} = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}[\sigma''(\sqrt{\tau}z)]^{2}, \quad (2)$$

In particular,

- > sparse and binarized (e.g., Bernoulli distributed) weights W instead of dense Gaussian weights
- **>** sparse quantized (e.g., binarized) activation σ shares the same d_0, d_1 , and d_2

-1

Numerical results



Figure: Test mean square errors of ridge regression on quantized single-hidden-layer random nets for different numbers of features $N \in \{5.10^2, 10^3, 5.10^3, 10^4, 5.10^4\}$, using LP-RFF, Nyström approximation, versus the proposed approach, on the Census dataset, with $n = 16\,000$ training samples, $n_{\text{test}} = 2\,000$ test samples, and data dimension p = 119.

Fully-connected deep neural networks with random weights

everyone cares more about (i) deep neural networks and (ii) have non-random weights
 with some additional efforts, theory extends to fully-connected deep neural networks of depth L,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_L}} \mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T} \sigma_L \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{L-1}}} \mathbf{W}_L \sigma_{L-1} \left(\dots \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_2}} \sigma_2 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_1}} \mathbf{W}_2 \sigma_1(\mathbf{W}_1 \mathbf{x}) \right) \right) \right), \tag{3}$$

again for random $\mathbf{W}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{W}_L$ and activations $\sigma_1(\cdot), \ldots, \sigma_L(\cdot)$.

Theorem (Asymptotic equivalents for conjugate kernels, informal) Under the same condition, define output features of layer $\ell \in \{1, ..., L\}$, as

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\ell} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{\ell}}} \sigma_{\ell} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{\ell-1}}} \mathbf{W}_{\ell} \sigma_{\ell-1} \left(\dots \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_2}} \sigma_2 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_1}} \mathbf{W}_2 \sigma_1(\mathbf{W}_1 \mathbf{X}) \right) \right) \right).$$
(4)

we have for the Conjugate Kernel $K_{CK,\ell}$ at layer ℓ defined as

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{CK},\ell} = \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\ell}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\ell}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},\tag{5}$$

that $\|\mathbf{K}_{CK,\ell} - \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{CK,\ell}\| \to 0$, some random matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{CK,\ell}$ dependent of data, of activation σ_{ℓ} but only via a few parameters, and independent of the distribution of \mathbf{W} , as long as of normalized to have zero mean and unit variance.

Theorem (Asymptotic equivalents for CK matrices, formal)

Let $\tau_0, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_L \ge 0$ *be a sequence of non-negative numbers satisfying the following recursion:*

$$\tau_{\ell} = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\sigma_{\ell}^2(\tau_{\ell-1}\xi)]}, \quad \xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), \quad \ell \in \{1,\dots,L\}.$$
(6)

Further assume that the activation functions $\sigma_{\ell}(\cdot)$ s are "centered," such that $\mathbb{E}[\sigma_{\ell}(\tau_{\ell-1}\xi)] = 0$. Then, for the CK matrix $\mathbf{K}_{CK,\ell}$ of layer $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, L\}$ defined in (5), as $n, p \to \infty$, one has that:

$$\|\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{CK},\ell} - \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{\mathrm{CK},\ell}\| \to 0, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{\mathrm{CK},\ell} \equiv \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\ell,1} \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{V} \mathbf{A}_{\ell} \mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{T}} + (\tau_{\ell}^{2} - \tau_{0}^{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\ell,1} - \tau_{0}^{4} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\ell,3}) \mathbf{I}_{n},$$
(7)

almost surely, with $\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{J}/\sqrt{p}, \boldsymbol{\psi}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (K+1)}, \mathbf{A}_{\ell} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{\ell,2} \mathbf{t} \mathbf{t}^{\mathsf{T}} + \alpha_{\ell,3} \mathbf{T} & \alpha_{\ell,2} \mathbf{t} \\ \alpha_{\ell,2} \mathbf{t}^{\mathsf{T}} & \alpha_{\ell,2} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(K+1) \times (K+1)}, \text{ for class label vectors } \mathbf{J} = [\mathbf{j}_1, \dots, \mathbf{j}_K] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}, \text{ "second-order" data fluctuation vector } \boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ second-order data statistics } \mathbf{t} = \{ \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{C}_a^{\circ}/\sqrt{p} \}_{a=1}^K \in \mathbb{R}^K \text{ and } \mathbf{T} = \{ \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{C}_a \mathbf{C}_b / p \}_{a,b=1}^K \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}, \text{ as well as non-negative } \alpha_{\ell,1}, \alpha_{\ell,2}, \alpha_{\ell,3} \text{ satisfying } \}$

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\ell,1} = \mathbb{E}[\sigma_{\ell}'(\tau_{\ell-1}\xi)]^2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\ell-1,1}, \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\ell,2} = \mathbb{E}[\sigma_{\ell}'(\tau_{\ell-1}\xi)]^2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\ell-1,2} + \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E}[\sigma_{\ell}''(\tau_{\ell-1}\xi)]^2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\ell-1,4}^2, \quad (8)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\ell,3} = \mathbb{E}[\sigma_{\ell}'(\tau_{\ell-1}\xi)]^2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\ell-1,3} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[\sigma_{\ell}''(\tau_{\ell-1}\xi)]^2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\ell-1,1}^2.$$
(9)

with
$$\alpha_{\ell,4} = \mathbb{E}\left[(\sigma_{\ell}'(\tau_{\ell-1}\xi))^2 + \sigma_{\ell}(\tau_{\ell-1}\xi)\sigma_{\ell}''(\tau_{\ell-1}\xi)\right]\alpha_{\ell-1,4}$$
 for $\xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$.
Z. Liao (EIC, HUST)
RMT4Compression

January 18, 2023 16 / 21

Deep compression of fully-connected deep nets via NTK

- used for compression of fully-connected deep nets, but with random weights only, who cares?
- Our approach: from random to trained nets via Neural Tangent Kernel (NTK) theory [JGH18]:
- for (i) sufficiently wide nets (ii) trained with gradient descent of sufficiently small step size, NTK is determined at random initialization and remains unchanged during training

Proof outline of NTK

- conditioned on $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n$, train NN by minimizing $\ell(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(\theta, \mathbf{x}_i) y_i)^2$, $\theta \equiv \{\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{W}_L, \cdots, \mathbf{W}_1\}$;
- gradient descent with sufficiently small step size leads to gradient flow dynamics: $\frac{d\theta(t)}{dt} = -\nabla \ell(\theta(t));$
- − the dynamics of the output vector $\mathbf{u}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $u_i = \frac{df(\theta(t), \mathbf{x}_i)}{dt}$ given by

$$\frac{d\mathbf{u}(t)}{dt} = -\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{\text{NTK}}(t) \left(\mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{y}\right), \quad \mathbf{y} = [y_1, \dots, y_n]^{\mathsf{T}}, \quad [\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{\text{NTK}}(t)]_{i,j} = \langle \frac{\partial f(\theta, \mathbf{x}_i)}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial f(\theta, \mathbf{x}_j)}{\partial \theta} \rangle \tag{10}$$

- then, step (1): convergence of the random NTK to its expectation $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{\text{NTK}}(t=0) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{\text{NTK}} \equiv \mathbb{E}[\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{\text{NTK}}(t=0)]$, and step (2): stability of the NTK during training $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{\text{NTK}}(t) \simeq \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{\text{NTK}}(t=0) \simeq \mathbf{K}_{\text{NTK}}$ for t > 0.
- ▶ with some additional efforts, **understand** the behavior of NTK matrices **K**_{NTK}

use the theory for DNN compression!

⁴Arthur Jacot, Franck Gabriel, and Clément Hongler. "Neural tangent kernel: Convergence and generalization in neural networks". In: Advances in neural information processing systems. 2018, pp. 8571–8580

Z. Liao (EIC, HUST)

RMT4Compression



Figure: Test accuracies of compressed nets on MNIST (top) and CIFAR10 (bottom) datasets. Blue represent the proposed approach with different sparsity levels, purple represent the heuristic sparsification approach by uniformly zeroing out 80% of the weights, green represent the heuristic quantization approach using the binary activation $\sigma(t) = 1_{t<-1} + 1_{t>1}$, red represent the original network, brown represent the proposed compression approach *without* activation quantization, and orange represent magnitude-based pruning. Memory varies due to the change of layer width of the network.

Conclusion and take-away message

Take-away message:

- ▶ theoretical analysis of single-hidden-layer NN with random weights
- extension to fully-connected deep nets and to NTK
- to propose DNN compression approach with theoretical guarantee!

Future work and open problems:

- deep learning theory beyond the NTK regime? more challenging due to optimization and complicated dependent structure therein;
- RMT for more structured data, e.g., structured random graph (dense and sparse), with application in computer science
- **RMT+OPT**: RMT and high-dimensional statistics for optimization beyond worst-case scenario

References:

- Hafiz Tiomoko Ali, Zhenyu Liao, and Romain Couillet. "Random matrices in service of ML footprint: ternary random features with no performance loss". In: International Conference on Learning Representations. 2022
- Lingyu Gu et al. ""Lossless" Compression of Deep Neural Networks: A High-dimensional Neural Tangent Kernel Approach". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2022

Z. Liao (EIC, HUST)

RMT4Compression

RMT for machine learning: from theory to practice

Random matrix theory (RMT) for machine learning:

- change of intuition from small to large dimensional learning paradigm!
- **better understanding** of existing methods: why they work if they do, and what the issue is if they do not
- improved novel methods with performance guarantee!



- Random Matrix Methods for Machine Learning, Cambridge University Press, 2022
- ▶ by Romain Couillet and Zhenyu Liao
- a pre-production version of the book and exercise solutions at https://zhenyu-liao.github.io/book/
- MATLAB and Python codes to reproduce all figures at https://github.com/Zhenyu-LIAO/RMT4ML

Thank you! Q & A?